Fw: Re: Fw: Questions from Barry, Monday 6-13-2011

Katharine Lima to: Sabrina Forrest 06/14/2011 08:41 AM

From: Katharine Lima <kncj@sbcglobal.net>

To:

Sabrina:

Below was Bob's response to the request for his availability. Looks like he forgot to cc you. Thanks.

Katharine

--- On Tue, 6/14/11, Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov < Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov > wrote:

From: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov < Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov >

Subject: Re: Fw: Questions from Barry, Monday 6-13-2011

To: "Katharine Lima" <kncj@sbcglobal.net> Date: Tuesday, June 14, 2011, 9:14 AM

If you want to talk prior to talking to Barry, fine. I don't need to be involved. For the call with Barry on the line, Thursday (other than 1 -2 eastern, when I have another call) or Friday anytime is ok. Next week will be tough.

From: Katharine Lima < kncj@sbcglobal.net > To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA Cc: Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 06/14/2011 08:55 AM

Subject: Re: Fw: Questions from Barry, Monday 6-13-2011

I am more than happy to address any questions that may come up. Whether you would like to field some of them beforehand is at your

option - unless Bob has input on that one.

I do agree it would probably be beneficial for us to touch base before we talk with Barry.

Question for you, Bob: will you be in on these calls? If so, could you let us know your availability?

Katharine Lima

Environmental Scientist

CSC

2119 South Fourth Street

Springfield, Illinois 62703

Science, Engineering and Mission Support

p: 217.525.8756 | kncj@sbcglobal.net | www.csc.com

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery.

NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose. •

--- On Mon, 6/13/11, Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov < Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov < Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov >

Subject: Fw: Questions from Barry, Monday 6-13-2011

To: kncj@sbcglobal.net

Cc: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov
Date: Monday, June 13, 2011, 5:34 PM

Katharine, I was going to answer some of them for which I was fairly certain of the answers. However, since CSC is available for their HRS expertise and for completeness, I thought it best to just forward Barry's questions to you. If that is inappropriate, please let me know.

Do you think it would be beneficial for you and me to talk about these

questions and areas in which START is struggling, then schedule a time to have Barry join us on a call?

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest
NPL Coordinator &
Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129 Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 06/13/2011 04:28 PM

From: Barry_Hayhurst@URSCorp.com
To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 06/13/2011 03:06 PM

Subject: Questions from Barry, Monday 6-13-2011

Here are some tentative questions I have for the experts

Questions:

Sources:

- 1. Small (sometime very small mountain creeks) drain into Cement Creek all down the drainage. Should these be counted as a source or the mine waste rock-tailings-adit discharge as the source. There are currently no documented targets on any of these small feeder streams. This is a conceptual issue for me----how to depict these multiple side streams in a data table.
- 2. How important is documenting any type of engineered control on any of the sources?

Surface Water Pathway--surface water samples:

- 1. As I understand the scenario as we are going for two different targets; wetlands and sensitive environments, and an adjacent or nearby fisherman. For the surface water samples I am using the SCDM Environmental Acute and SCDM Environmental Chronic benchmarks. Are these the appropriate benchmarks for wetlands and sensitive environments? Is there a more logical choice for a benchmark and do I need a third for the "adjacent or nearby fisherman"? I don't understand how the MCLs fit into this scenario?
- 2. I have elevated (3X) readings in surface water of cadmium, with copper, zinc, lead, and aluminum in the upper half of the drainage. There are no fish present or drinking water users, just wetlands and sensitive environments. Where do samples need to come from to count the streamside wetland (specifically within the wetland or bracketing the wetland?)?
- 3. Currently there are no USDOI Wetlands Inventory Maps prepared for the upper Cement Creek drainage where the 3X background contamination is documented. Maps exist north and south of the Cement Creek area. The current wetlands areas were sketched on the sample map by a START GIS person as their interpretation gleaned from an aerial photograph. It is my understanding that I would need to go to the potential wetlands, dig

a pit and do a soil profile to document the presence of a wetland and then map the aerial extent of the wetland. This documentation would serve as a reference in lieu of a USDOI wetlands inventory map. Would this be the correct way to document wetlands in upper Cement Creek?

4. I'm not sure I completely understand the nearby or adjacent fisherman scenario.

Surface Water Pathway--sediment samples:

1. The sediment samples show sporadic elevated concentrations (3X background, > benchmarks) of arsenic, silver, zinc, and barium. Since the only benchmarks using mg/Kg are SCDM soil exposure and SCDM Human Food Chain I have used those two benchmarks. Neither of these benchmarks seem applicable to the wetlands but perhaps to the nearby adjacent fisherman? Are these the appropriate (and perhaps only available benchmarks?). Is there another benchmark I should be using

Again, do the sediment samples need to be located within a wetland to count that wetland or do the samples merely need to bracket the wetland?

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation confidential information that may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive

this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information

and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.

pa.gov

To

06/13/2011 12:47 PM Katharine Lima

<kncj@sbcglobal.net>

CC

Barry_Hayhurst@urscorp.com, Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov

Subject

Re: availability for a call this

week?

As for BJ, I don't know; depends on Barry's questions. We shall see...

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

NPL Coordinator &

Site Assessment Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129 Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

From: Katharine Lima < kncj@sbcglobal.net > To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA Cc: Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,

Barry_Hayhurst@urscorp.com Date: 06/13/2011 11:43 AM

Subject: Re: availability for a call this week?

No problem - I'm pretty open this week. Would you need BJ on the call as well?

Katharine Lima

Environmental Scientist

CSC

2119 South Fourth Street

Springfield, Illinois 62703

Science, Engineering and Mission Support

| p: 217.525.8756 | kncj@sbcglobal.net | www.csc.com

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery.

NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose. •

--- On Mon, 6/13/11, Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov < Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov > wrote:

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov <Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov>

Subject: availability for a call this week?

To: kncj@sbcglobal.net

Cc: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov, Barry_Hayhurst@urscorp.com

Date: Monday, June 13, 2011, 12:19 PM

Katharine, If START has some more questions to put forward this week with the goal of finalizing their Quickscore(s) this week, do you have time for a call with me and START member, Barry Hayhurst?

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

NPL Coordinator &

Site Assessment Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129

Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the

original message and any attachments.	